TEACHER PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND LEARNING

TO SUPPORT STUDENTS WITH INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY

AND CHALLENGING BEHAVIOUR: A STUDY

IN SPECIAL EDUCATION SETTINGS IN VIETNAM

By THI QUYNH NI PHAM B.Ed (Vietnam); M.Ed (Australia)

A thesis submitted to the School of Education

University of Newcastle

In fulfillment of requirements for the

Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (EDUCATION)

December 2018

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

I hereby certify that the work embodied in the thesis is my own work, conducted

under normal supervision.

The thesis contains no material which has been accepted, or is being examined,

for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary

institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously

published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made. I

give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when

deposited in the University's Digital Repository, subject to the provisions of the

Copyright Act 1968 and any approved embargo.

Signed

THI QUYNH NI PHAM

ii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Completing a PhD is impossible without the ongoing support and commitment by many significant people. I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to those who have provided resources, support, time, guidance, encouragement, and company during my PhD journey.

My sincerest gratitude goes to my supervisors, Professor Michael Arthur-Kelly, Dr Gordon Lyons and Dr Jude Foggett. Words fail to express my gratitude to Professor Michael Arthur-Kelly for his invaluable guidance, continuous support and encouragement, as well as his wise decision in choosing my co-supervisors. My deeply grateful thanks go to Dr Gordon Lyons for his critical comments and insightful suggestions on every single piece of my research. My sincere thanks go to Dr Jude Foggett for her enthusiastic and valuable support in the final stage of my study. I would not have completed this thesis without their support and patience.

I would like to thank the University of Newcastle for awarding me a full scholarship to undertake my PhD study in Australia and providing a vibrant research environment and support services to students. I could not survive without support from the professional staff of the School of Education and the Faculty of Education and Arts (especially Hayley McGregor) as well as Learning Development (especially Dr Lil Hayes and Dr Jill McKeowen). Particular gratitude also goes to my colleagues and friends in the Centre for Special Education and Disability Studies for daily chats and continuous encouragement.

I am profoundly grateful to my former supervisor Dr Julie McMillan at Flinders University for having taught me about Positive Behaviour Support and single-subject design. The knowledge and ideas that we worked with were very significant for my current study. I am grateful to my friend Dorothee Faesler, for her precious time to read my chapter drafts, her valuable feedback and positive encouragement. My great thanks also go to Ruth McHugh for copy editing my thesis.

Special thanks also go to my PhD friends in School of Education for their excellent advice, sharing many memorable moments and for their constant encouragement. I would like to thank Hien Trinh and Thu Tran for their inter-coder check, Huong Lam and Anh Vu for their valuable advice.

My research would have been impossible without the support from the target schools. I would like to acknowledge the two school administrators, staff, students and their families who volunteered to participate in my study and do more work despite their workload. The experience learned from them enhanced my research interest and passion.

I am thankful to my colleagues and friends from Hue University of Education where I have worked, for their important support during my data collection. I would like to thank Dr Anh Tran for being a contact in Vietnam for ethical complaints. Special thanks to Van Dinh, Minu, and Mon for helping me with diagnosis confirmation, feedback on the training materials and proofreading my ethics documents. I would like to thank Phuong Mai, Dan Nguyen, Diem Pham for their support in feedback on the training materials, sending copies of Vietnamese studies report, and transcribing the interview data. My thanks to Anh Le, Huyen Nguyen, Quanh Tran, Giang Truong, Tan Nguyen, and Dung Nguyen for their support with the inter-observer check and meaningful notes that they provided.

Last but not least, my loving thanks and heartfelt gratitude go to my husband, Thanh Toan Nguyen, for always being by my side and consistently encouraging me do my best. Thanks also to my little daughter Quynh Thuc An Nguyen who gave me lots of kisses and hugs every day. Special thanks to my unborn baby who have four months to go, for his understanding and being quiet during daytime when I was completing this thesis. My deepest thanks go to my parents, Ba Dung Pham and Thi Nga Le who devoted all their dreams for my dream. I am also thankful to my brothers and extended family for their unconditional love and endless support. This thesis is dedicated to my family.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
CHAPTER 1- INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Chapter preview	1
1.2. Definition of key terms	2
1.2.1. Intellectual disability	
1.2.2. Challenging behaviour	
1.2.3. Teacher professional development and learning	7
1.3. The Vietnamese context	9
1.3.1. The evolution of special education	10
1.3.2. Special education services for students with intellectual disability and challenging	g behaviour
1.3.3. Addressing challenging behaviour	
1.3.4. Teacher professional development and learning	
1.4. Research problem	23
1.4.1. Prevalence of challenging behaviour in students with intellectual disability	
1.4.2. The effects of challenging behaviour	
1.4.3. Support needs of students with intellectual disability and challenging behaviour in	
1.4.4. Teacher professional development and learning needs to support students with i	
disability and challenging behaviour	
1.5. Structure of the thesis	28
CHAPTER 2- LITERATURE REVIEW	31
2.1. Chapter preview	
2.2. Understanding intellectual disability	
2.2.1. Intellectual disability assessment	
2.2.2. Intellectual disability classifications	
·	
2.3. Understanding challenging behaviour	
2.3.1. Factors impacting challenging behaviour.	
2.3.2. Challenging behaviour assessment and Functional Behaviour Assessment	49
2.4. Approaches to managing challenging behaviour	
2.4.1. Ecological and preventative approaches	
2.4.2. Behavioural approaches	58
2.5. Approaches to implementation science and teacher professional developme	ent and
learning	
2.5.1. Factors affecting the implementation process	
2.5.2. Characteristics of an effective teacher professional development and learning	
2.5.3. Teacher professional development and learning models	76

	4. Teacher professional development and learning program evaluation model5. Teacher professional development and learning and Function-based Intervention	
2.6. Co	onceptual framework of the current project	88
2.7. Re	esearch purpose	92
2.8.	Research questions	92
2.8.	1. Research question 1	92
2.8.	2. Research question 2	92
2.9.	Research significance	92
2.10.	Chapter summary	94
CHAPTE	R 3- STUDY ONE	95
3.1. Cł	napter preview	95
	ethodology and design	
3.3. M	ethod	99
	1. Setting	
	2. Participants	
	3. Instruments	
	4. Validity and reliability checks	
	5. Materials of the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning p	_
	5. Procedures for data collection	
3.4. Re	esults	135
3.4.	1. Overview of the results	135
3.4.2	2. Classroom A1	142
	3. Classroom A2	
	4. Aspects in implementing the Multi-component teacher professional development ar	
lear	ning program on Function-based Intervention in School A	166
3.5. lm	nplications for Study Two	168
3.6. Su	ımmary	170
CHAPTE	R 4- STUDY TWO: METHODOLOGY	171
4.1. Cł	napter preview	171
4.2. M	ethodology and design	172
4.3. M	ethod	174
4.3.	1. Setting	175
4.3.	2. Participants	177
4.3.	3. Instruments	180
	4. Validity and reliability checks	
	5. Materials in the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning p	_
	5. Procedures for data collection	
	7. Data analysisapter summary	
	•	
	R 5- STUDY TWO: RESULTS	
	napter preview	
5 2 Cl	assroom R1	212

5.2.1. Overview of Classroom B1	
5.2.2. Prior to the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning p	•
5.2.3. During the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning professional development development and learning professional development dev	_
5.2.4. After the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning pro	gram 228
5.3. Classroom B2	235
5.3.1. Overview of Classroom B2	235
5.3.2. Prior to the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning p	rogram 236
5.3.3. During the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning development and learning professional development and learning development and le	ogram 242
5.3.4. After the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning pro	gram 245
5.4. Classroom B3	252
5.4.1. Overview of Classroom B3	
5.4.2. Prior to the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning p	
5.4.3. During the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning development and learning development and learning development and	ogram 259
5.4.4. After the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning pro	gram 262
5.5. Classroom B4	269
5.5.1. Overview of Classroom B4	
5.5.2. Prior to the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning p	
5.5.3. During the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning development and learning development and learning development and	_
5.5.4. After the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning pro	_
5.6. Aspects in implementing the Multi-component teacher professional development	nnment and
learning program on Function-based Intervention in School B	-
5.6.1. Theme 1: Aspects in implementing Function-based Intervention	
5.6.2. Theme 2: Aspects in implementing the Multi-component teacher professional of	
and learning program	-
5.6.3. Theme 3: Suggestions for improvement from teachers' perspectives	295
5.7. Summary	295
5.7.1. Key findings relating to outcomes of the Multi-component teacher professional	
development and learning program	
5.7.2. Key findings relating to implementation of the Multi-component teacher profes	
development and learning program in Function-based Intervention	
HAPTER 6- DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	205
6.1. Chapter preview	305
6.2. Discussion	306
6.2.1. What are the reported and observed outcomes of the Multi-component teacher	·r
professional development and learning program on Function-based Intervention for N	/ietnamese
students with intellectual disability and challenging behaviour and their teachers?	
6.2.2. What aspects of implementation were identified from the delivery of the Multi	•
teacher professional development and learning program on Function-based Intervent	
Vietnamese teachers of students with intellectual disability and challenging behaviou	r? 323
6.3. Limitations	330
6.4. Recommendations and directions for future research, policy development	and
practicepricy development	
6.4.1. Recommendations and directions for future research	
6.4.2. Suggestions and implications for policy development	
6.4.3. Suggestions and implications for future practice	
6.5. Conclusion	338
EFERENCES	340
PPENDICES	262
FFLIVUIGEJ	

ABSTRACT

Challenging behaviour (CB) in students with intellectual disability (ID) remains one of the most pressing and problematic issues for teachers in special education settings. Students' CB may prevent them from maximising engagement with learning activities and social interaction within and beyond the classroom. Thus, schools and teachers need practical and effective approaches to support students with ID and CB.

CB in students with ID is still reported as a complex issue in special education settings in Vietnam. Although in recent years many efforts in research and practice have been made to support these students, there is still an implementation gap between research on behaviour management, and practice in addressing CB for students in classrooms. Teacher professional development and learning is considered an essential framework that bridges this gap.

This current research project comprised two studies that investigated the outcomes and implementation aspects from the Multi-component teacher professional development and learning (MTPDL) program on Function-based intervention in Vietnamese special education settings. The purpose of Study One was to pilot the research design and instruments which were used in Study Two. Six students with ID and CB from six special education classrooms in the Central Coast region, Vietnam, their twelve teachers and six parents participated in the project. A modified parallel mixed methods design formed by merging quantitative and qualitative data in case studies was used in this project. For quantitative data, a multiple baseline across participant single-case design was used for Study One and an AB single-subject design was employed for Study Two. For qualitative data, individual interviews and observations were conducted before, during and after the MTPDL program to explore how teachers changed after the

program. An interview with parents and teachers using an open-ended questionnaire was also conducted to examine the social validity of this project.

The findings suggest that teachers with little or no background in special education can practice Function-based Intervention successfully after their participation in the MTPDL program which combined the intensive training, ongoing coaching, jobembedded practice and ongoing evaluation. After the MTPDL program, participating teachers improved their understanding and level of confidence in addressing CB in their classrooms. Also, teacher strategies changed in a positive way but inconsistently. Students' CB decreased, and the replacement behaviours increased after the MTPDL program, but this outcome did not remain when the intervention ended.

The outcomes support using Function-based Intervention and Function-based Intervention Decision Model to address CB in students with ID and CB, and using an alternative model in conducting teacher professional development and learning. Some difficulties during the practice such as using Functional Behaviour Assessment and fundamental concepts and some obstacles from the MTPDL program such as workload issue and family cooperation, were also noted in this project.

These findings are very meaningful because the project provided an original evidence in using Function-based Intervention and an alternative teacher professional development and learning model in Vietnamese special education settings. In the discussion, some implications and recommendations for further research, policy development and practice are suggested.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABA Applied Behaviour Analysis

CB Challenging behaviour

CBCL-V Child Behaviour Checklist-Vietnamese version

FBA Functional Behaviour Assessment

ID Intellectual disability

IQ Intelligence quotient

MTPDL Multi-component teacher professional development and

learning

PBS Positive Behaviour Support

TPDL Teacher professional development and learning

VABS-VN Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scales-Vietnamese version

WISC-IV-VN Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th edition,

Vietnamese version

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	100
Quantitative and qualitative methods of data collection and types of data	100
Table 3.2	101
School information (the school year 2015-2016)	101
Table 3.3	102
Classroom teacher characteristics in Study One	102
Table 3.4	
Results of observer training	116
Table 3.5	117
The percentage of inter-observer check sessions	117
Table 3.6	120
Comparison between three stages of coding by the lead researcher	120
Table 3.7	122
Summary of the main contents of the MTPDL program on Function-based Interve	ntion
	122
Table 3.8	133
Example of coding steps	133
Table 3.9	151
Behaviour support plan for Nick (Teacher document)	151
Table 3.10	163
Behaviour support plan for Mike (Teacher document)	163
Table 4.1	174
Quantitative and qualitative methods of data collection and types of data	174
Table 4.2	175
Daily schedule in the target school	175
Table 4.3	178
Classroom teacher characteristics in Study Two	178
Table 4.4	185
Sample of a summary of data for one teacher	185
Table 4.5	185
Sample of a summary of observation data for two teachers	185
Table 4.6	187
Summary of the validity and reliability strategies in Study Two	187
Table 4.7	193
The percentage of interobserver check sessions	193
Table 4.8	196
Comparison between three stages of coding by the lead researcher	196
Table 4.9	199
Summary the main contents of the MTPDL program on Function-based Interventi	on 199
Table 4.10	211
Example of coding steps	211
Table 4.11	212
Example of training feedback analysis	212
Table 5.1	227
Behaviour support plan for Anna (Teachers' document)	227

Table 5.2	230
Mean percentages for Ms Hana's four existing strategies in Classroom B1	230
Table 5.3	232
Mean percentages of Ms Hana's new strategies in Classroom B1B1	232
Table 5.4	
Behaviour support plan for Quincy (Teachers' document)	244
Table 5.5	
Mean percentages for Ms Anne's existing strategies in Classroom B2	246
Table 5.6	248
Mean percentages for Ms Anne's new strategies in Classroom B2B2	248
Table 5.7	
Behaviour support plan for David (Teachers' document)	261
Table 5.8	
Mean percentages for Ms Tiffany's existing strategies in Classroom B3	264
Table 5.9	266
Mean percentages for Tiffany's new strategies in Classroom B3B3	266
Table 5.10	267
Mean percentages for Ms Tia's existing strategies in Classroom B3	267
Table 5.11	279
Behaviour support plan for Nana (Teachers' document)	279
Table 5.12	
Revised behaviour support plan for Nana (Teachers' document)	280
Table 5.13	282
Mean percentages for Ms Alice's existing strategies in Classroom B4	282
Table 5.14	283
Mean percentages for Ms Katy's existing strategies in Classroom B4	283
Table 5.15	
Mean percentages for Ms Sue's existing strategies in Classroom B4	285
Table 5.16	
Mean percentages for Ms Sue's new strategies in Classroom B4	287
Table 5.17	298
Summary of existing and new strategies of teachers in Study Two	298
Table 5.18	300
Comparison of mean scores over the phases (student data)	300
Table 5.19	301
Comparison of mean scores over phases (teacher data)	301

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. The structure of the thesis	30
Figure 2.1. Chapter 2 preview	31
Figure 2.2. Conceptual framework	91
Figure 3.1. Chapter 3 preview	95
Figure 3.2. The modified parallel mixed methods design	97
Figure 3.3. The formula to convert the score into percentage	109
Figure 3.4. Inter-observer agreement formula	
Figure 3.5. Inter-observer agreement in Study One	117
Figure 3.6. The MTPDL on Function-based Intervention process	124
Figure 3.7. Summary of data collection activities	
Figure 3.8. Student challenging behaviour data in the School A	
Figure 3.9. Teacher existing strategy data in the School A	
Figure 3.10. Physical setting of Classroom A1	
Figure 3.11. Ms Tracy's existing strategy	
Figure 3.12. Ms Quinn's existing strategy	
Figure 3.13. Student A1's challenging behaviour	
Figure 3.14. Physical setting of Classroom A2	
Figure 3.15. Ms Nadia's existing strategy	
Figure 3.16. Ms Helen's existing behaviour data	
Figure 3.17. Student A2's challenging behaviour	
Figure 4.1. Chapter 4 preview	
Figure 4.2. The modified parallel mixed methods design	
Figure 4.3. The formula to convert the score into percentage	
Figure 4.4. Inter-observer agreement index	
Figure 4.5. Example of the formula	
Figure 4.6. Inter-observer agreement in Study Two	
Figure 4.7. The MTPDL in Function-based Intervention process	
Figure 4.8. Summary of data collection activities	
Figure 5.1. Chapter 5 preview	
Figure 5.2. Case study structure	
Figure 5.3. Physical setting of Classroom B1	
Figure 5.4. Ms Hana's existing strategies in Classroom B1	
Figure 5.5. Ms Hana's new strategies in Classroom B1	
Figure 5.6. Student B1's challenging behaviour	
Figure 5.7. Student B1's replacement behaviour	
Figure 5.8. Physical setting of Classroom B2	
Figure 5.9. Ms Anne's existing strategies in Classroom B2	
Figure 5.10. Ms Sue's existing strategies in Classroom B2	
Figure 5.11. Ms Anne's new behaviours in Classroom B2	
Figure 5.12. Ms Sue's new behaviours	
Figure 5.13. Student B2's challenging behaviour	
Figure 5.14. Student B2's replacement behaviour	
Figure 5.15. Physical setting of Classroom B3	
Figure 5.16. Ms Tiffany's existing strategies in Classroom B3	
Figure 5.17. Ms Tia's existing behaviour in Classroom B3	259

Figure 5.18. Ms Tiffany's new strategies in Classroom B3	265
Figure 5.19. Ms Tia's new strategies in Classroom B3B3	267
Figure 5.20. Student B3's challenging behaviour	268
Figure 5.21. Student B3's replacement behaviour	269
Figure 5.22. Physical setting of Classroom B4	274
Figure 5.23. Ms Alice's existing strategies in Classroom B4	276
Figure 5.24. Ms Katy's existing behaviour in Classroom B4	276
Figure 5.26. Ms Alice's new strategies in Classroom B4	283
Figure 5.27. Ms Katy's new strategies in Classroom B4B4	284
Figure 4.28. Ms Sue's existing strategies in Classroom B4	285
Figure 4.29. Ms Sue's new strategies in Classroom B4	286
Figure 5.30. Student B4's challenging behaviour	288
Figure 5.31. Student B4's replacement behaviour	
Figure 6.1. Chapter 6 preview	305
Figure 6.2. Summary of the research project's data collection	307

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A1: Interview protocols for Study One	.364
Appendix A2: Interview protocols for Study Two	.366
Appendix B1: Observation protocols for Study One (English/Vietnamese versions)	.368
Appendix B2: Observation protocols for Study Two (English/Vietnamese versions)	.376
Appendix B3: Sample of a completed partial recording form	.384
Appendix C: Materials of inter-observer training	.387
Appendix D1: Inter-coder agreement protocol	
Appendix D2: Inter-coder agreement for Study One and Study Two	
Appendix E: Expert suggestions for the intensive training on Function-based	
Intervention	.394
Appendix F: The participant and trainer manual for the teacher professional	
development and learning program on Function-based Intervention	.395
Appendix G: Coaching session note	
Appendix H: Diagnosis confirmation results	
Appendix I: Developmental Behaviour Checklist results	
Appendix J: Information statement and consent letter for classroom teachers	
(English/Vietnamese versions)	.426
Appendix K: Information statement and consent letter for parents' target student	
(English/Vietnamese versions)	.435
Appendix L1: Study One- Information statement and consent letter for school	
principals (English/ Vietnamese versions)	.445
Appendix L2: Study Two- Information statement and consent letter for school	
principals (English/ Vietnamese versions)	.455
Appendix M: Vietnamese version of the Developmental Behaviour Checklist for	
teacher	.465
Appendix N: Ethics approval from Human Research Ethic Committees	.469
Appendix O: Agreement letter for translation for Ethics Committee	
Appendix P: Example of analysis work using visual analysis	
Appendix Q: Example of analysis work using NVivo10	
Appendix R: The operational definition of Nick's CB and Ms Tracy and Quinn's exist	
strategies	_
Appendix S: The operational definition of Mike's CB and Ms Nadia and Helen's exist	
strategies	_
Appendix T: The operational definition of student behaviours and teacher strategie	
Classroom B1	
Appendix U: The operational definition of student behaviours and teacher strategie	es in
Classroom B2	.480
Appendix V: The operational definition of student behaviours and teacher strategies	
Classroom B3	
Appendix W: The operational definition of student behaviours and teacher strategi	es
in Classroom B4	
Appendix X: The Montessori Method in School B	